

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,419	PARRISH, SCOTT K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Pak	1616	

All Participants:

(1) John Pak.

(2) Cara Crowley-Weber.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Examiner Pak faxed a draft amendment of claim 1 to Ms. Crowley-Weber for discussion purposes. A copy of this fax is attached hereto.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1, as shown in the attached fax.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Pak attempted to advance prosecution by proposing a draft version of claim 1 for discussion purposes. In the end, the Examiner determined that the case had issues which required an Office action. The amendment proposal was not further pursued.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application:

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)